

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/730,044	PONTON, THIERRY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PHILIPPE S. DERA KSHANI	3754	

All Participants:

(1) PHILIPPE S. DERA KSHANI

(2) Lynn Schwenning

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 November 2006

Time: 8:00

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 103 involving Brugger 5,971,210

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Brugger

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agrred Brugger does not show at least one elastically-deformable transmission member associated with at least one of the control members and configured in such a manner as to transmit displacement of the pushbutton to the at least one control member in order to dispense substance..